From: Judith Hykel/R3/USEPA/US Sent: 2/9/2010 10:37:12 AM

To: Humane Zia/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Karen Melvin/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Gerald

Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Mark Bolender/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

CC:
Subject: Fw: Flowback radiation levels

Judy (215) 814-2646

----- Forwarded by Judith Hykel/R3/USEPA/US on 02/09/2010 10:35 AM -----

Angela McFadden/R3/USEPA/US

02/09/2010 09:54 AM

To Martin Schwartz/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Judith Hykel/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
cc David Dillon/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Chris Spangenberg/R3/USEPA/US, "Amy Bergdale" <Bergdale.Amy@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject Re: Flowback radiation levels

Ex. 7(e)

Angela McFadden U.S. EPA Region 3 Water Protection Division 1650 Arch Street (3WP00) Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-287-7823 cell 215-814-2324 desk 215-814-2301 fax

----- Original Message ----From: Martin Schwartz
Sent: 02/09/2010 09:28 AM EST

To: Angela McFadden; Judith Hykel
Cc: David Dillon; Chris Spangenberg
Subject: Flowback radiation levels

These are comments from one of the teachers here at school re; wells in Dimock. He has a Phd in biochemistry and physics from the University of Chicago, one of the most outstanding schools in the country/.

These levels are off the friggin charts. Acceptable levels are <u>way</u> lower. This website explains things very nicely.

http://healthvermont.gov/enviro/rad/alpha.aspx

As part of the DEP permit application for the proposed Water Treatment Plant in Eaton Township, Wyoming (flowback fluids from Dimock gas wells were analyzed by Quantum Analytical and Environmental Laboratorie

This is what was found;

DIM0058599

Lewis 1 Well sample taken 8/24/08

Gross Alpha 223.3 pCi/L Gross Beta 309.2 pCi/L Radium 226 69.63 pCi/L radium 228 18.55 pCi/L

Lewis 2 Well sample taken 8/24/08

Gross Alpha 159.9 pCi/L Gross Beta 210.3 pCi/L Radium 226 69.32 pCi/L Radium 228 9.64 pCi/L

DIM0058599 DIM0058600